App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1935-36 (C1)

C1 File No. 4885

Serial Nos. 164

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for Aug. 36

SUBJECT.

Unsatisfactory work of Mr. K. Narayanaraj Ms. Asst. Comm. in Charge of Madhugiri Sub Division as disclosed in the Inspection reports of the Rev. Comm.

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

C.B. 1728

Grant of increment due to Mr. Nirunjanraj Hrs, Assistant Commissioner

General and Revenue Secretariat

C.B. File No. 148 of 193 5. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letter No C 4675/35-36 of 18.5.36 from

1 The Rev Commr in Mysore states that he cannot agree with the recommendation of the Dy Commr, Tumkur, that the increment due to Mr Niranjanraj Hrs Asst Commr in charge of Kadhugini Sub-division, raising his pay to Rs 375/- in Grade 250-25-450 may be given & that Commr 16.5.36 and suggests that in view of the several defects revealed in his work, the increment may be withheld for 6 months

Orders for orders.

1) The Rev Commr has forwarded a copy of the Notes of inspection of the Kadhugini Sub-div^n Office held on 29.4.1936 (Flag L). In the last paragraph of the Report the Rev Commr has summarised the several irregularities which came to his notice during the course of inspection.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2 MAY 1936
7209

Dom 19/5

2) The proposal of the Rev. Comr. Heal-
the increment due to Mr H. Nirunjanraj Hrs on
16.5.36 be withheld for 6 months, is for orders
(Confidential Reports &c: the Office also put up

C.B. (19/5/36) (Member Dewan) 3) It is necessary Dom 19-5-36 that the explanation

6694 20/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

of Mr Narayan has to be obtained before further action is taken on the R C's recom^n

4) I agree. JPZ

5) R.C.'s report shows him up in a poor light. 22/5

[illegible] JM

[illegible] 7.20 P.M.

JM
23-5-36

JM

6) Draft submitted for approval

7) JM 26/5

Secret
26/5/36
CPA

To The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. 2689/C.B. Dt. 26th May 1936

Requests to obtain the explanation of Mr. Niranjanaraj Urs in respect of the several defects pointed out by him & to forward to Govt with the observations of the Dy. Commissioner & himself

Sec's

No. C 4675/35-36 Dt 19-8-36

From The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

Forwards the explanation of Mr Niranjan Raj Urs in respect of the defects pointed out by the

Serial No.

The Rev. Commr. & the Dy. Commr's observations thereon & opinion that in view of the Dy. Commr's Report that most of the defects have since been set right, he is inclined to view the shortcomings leniently and recommends that a warning may be conveyed to him

Submitted with reference to paras 3 and 4 supra.

The explanations furnished by Mr. Niranjan Raj has are at Flag C in the form of a Statement and the further observations of the Rev. Commr. in respect of the explanations are recorded in the margin.

The observations of the Dy. Commr. on the explanations furnished are at Flag N.

The Rev. Commr. in the first instance recommended on 18.5.36 that the increment which became due to Mr. Niranjan Raj on 16.5.36 may be withheld for six months.

On further consideration he now recommends that a warning may be conveyed to him. Orders.

Perhaps if Govt. approve of this present proposal the Rev. Comr. will himself authorise the grant of the increment from 16.5.36

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

SECRETARIAT 20 AUG. 1936

Pmr 20/8

293

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

The D.C. has referred to the work of Mr Narayan Raj ms in very satisfactory terms. But the marginal remarks of the R.C. on the Explr of Mr Narayan Raj ms would seem to indicate that he is not quite satisfied with the Explr. The R.C. however is prepared to take a more lenient view of the case, in view of the recent improvement shown in Mr N. Rajan's work. His recommendation may be appd

(Secretary) MS 20/8

Yes, with the information that Mr N. has not been put before Govt Executive Council affords no excuse for neglecting his office duty

1-3-0.8
20/8

JM 22/8 MS 24/8

Draft letter forwarded

(Secretary 25/8/36) appd. MS 25/8

CHIEF SECRETARY 25 AUG. 1936

No. 559 Of 26-8-36 to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

Stating that Govt approve of his recommendation that a warning may be conveyed to him

BB.

CB 1728

CB/148-35

'CONFIDENTIAL'

No. C.4675/55-56.

Rec'd Copy.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 18th May *1936.*

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the increment of Mr. H. Niranjanraj Urs, Assistant Commissioner in charge of Madhugiri Sub Division, raising his pay to Rs.375/- in Grade Rs.250-25-[illegible] falls due on 16-5-1936. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, who was consulted is of opinion that the work of Mr. Niranjanraj Urs has been satisfactory. But I inspected the Sub Division office recently and found that the work of Mr. Niranjanraj Urs is not satisfactory (Vide copy of my inspection notes enclosed). In view of the several defects revealed at the time of inspection, I regret I cannot agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that the increment due to Mr. Niranjanraj Urs may be withheld for 6 months.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K V Anantaraman
Revenue Commissioner.

KY
CB
18/5/36

Notes of inspection of the Madhugiri Sub Division Office held by the Revenue Commissioner o n 29-4-1936.

Sub Division Officer - Mr. H.Niranjanaraj Urs, B.A., from 21-31934.

The Office was last inspected by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur on 11-6-1935

The Sub Division Officer has not yet inspected his own Office as required by the standing orders. He has not done so even once during the two years that he has been there.

Minute Book.- Maintained. Important points observed by the Deputy Commissioner during his last inspection have been noted therein in his own hand. Actiontaken thereon has been noted in the Register.

Correspondence.- The new system of Office procedure is in force and the following registers have been maintained.

(1) General Receipt Register.

(2) Personal Registers by the three caseworkers.

(3) One new case Register for the whole Office.

(4) Petition Register.

(5) Periodical Register.

The pendency in the Office at the time of the Deputy Commissioner's last inspection and that according to the business quarterly for the quarter ending 31st March 1936 are given.below.-

	At the time of the Deputy Commr's Inspection.	As on 1-4-1936.
1928-29	.. 9	8
1929-30	.. 26	25
1930-31	.. 5	5
1931-32	.. 19	11

	At the time of the Deputy Commr's Inspection.	As on 1-4-1936.
1932-33	27	27
1933-34	239	132
1934-35	459	258
1935-36		240
Total	784	706

There were 573 currents pending n 1-7-1935, 939 fresh currents were eceived up to the end of March 1936 aking a total of 1512 of which 806 have been dis- osed of leaving a balance of 706 as shown above.

The disposal of old currents prior o 1933-34 cannot be considered to be satis- actory. In several cases replies are pending for a long time, from the subordinate offices.

eriodical Register.- Huzur Jamabandi reports f Sira and Koratagere Taluks have not et been sent to the Deputy Commissioner. No ttempt has been made to prepare them.

etiton Register.-

Pending on 1-7-1935	308
Received up to the end of March 36.	672
Total	980
Disposal	588
Balance	392

Monthly abstracts have not been put p.

arkhasts.-A single register has been maintained nd separate pages have been allotted therein or registering the different kinds of darkhast pplications.

(a) Depressed Class Darkhasts.- There were 3 depressed class darkhasts pending on -7-1935, 13 were received up to the end of March 936. Of these 36 darkhasts, 29 have been dis- osed of leaving a balance of 7 cases.

The oldest case is D.D.R. No.32 of 34-35 darkhast of A.K. Giddappa and 6 others for S.No. 181 and 182 of Koratagere Village. Pending with the Amildar from 8-3-1936 for further information.

(b) Kharab land darkhasts - There were 8 cases pending on 1-7-1935, 13 were received up to the end of March 1936. Of these 21 darkhasts, 14 have been disposed of leaving a balance of 7 cases.

The oldest case is No K.D.R.6/34-35 darkhast of Mudduveeraiya for alluvial land by the side of S.Nos. 42 & 49 of Gowraganahalli, Koratagere Taluk. Report received from the Amildar on 14-3-1936.

(c) Gomal Darkhasts:- There were 4 cases pending on 1-7-1935 and 23 cases were received up to end of March 1936. Of these 27 cases, 22 have been disposed of leaving a balance of 5. Besides these there are two other old cases viz.,

(1) D.R.17/33-34 darkhast of Sanna Erappa for S.No. 18 of Bedara Agrahara Village, Koratagere Taluk. Further information called for by the Deputy Commissioner on 26-2-1936. Pending with the Amildar from 18-3-1936.

(2) D.R. 184/33-34 (Nirsardi) darkhast of Vidwan Seshachar of Holavanahalli for S.No. 86 shown as pending with the Deputy Commissioner since 20-3-1935.

Loan Register:-There were 4 loan applications pending on 1-7-1935 and 4 applications were received up to the end of March 1936. All the old applications and one of the current year have been

disposed of leaving a balance of 3 of which 2 are pending with the Amildar of Madhugiri Taluk for enquiry and one has been submitted to the Deputy Commissioner on 24-4-1936.

Remissions.- (a) ordinary . There were 83 cases pending on ~~1-17-1935~~ 1-7-1935 and 59 cases were received up to the end of March 1936. Of these ~~142~~ 142 cases, 79 have been disposed of, leaving a balance of 63. Salwar details are noted below:-

1932-33	2
1933-34	16
1934-35	26
1935-36	19
Total	63

The old cases should be brought to early disposal.

(b) Seasonal remissions:- During the year 1934-35 a sum of Rs 43,369-5-6 as noted the margin (exclusive of Talaparige remission of Rs 9,897-0-4 in Madhugiri and Pavagada Taluks) has been proposed as the probable seasonal remissions to be granted under the several tanks in the Sub Division. Form No. 2 has yet to be finally sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner and the sanctioned amount adjusted in the Revenue Accounts. Early action must be taken in this behalf.

Taluk.	
Madhugiri	Rs12,774-12-0
Sira	Rs11,654- 6-0
Pavagada	Rs 9,445- 8-0
Koratagere	Rs 9,494-11-6
Total	Rs43,369- 5-6

APPEALS.- There were 33 cases on 1-7-1935 and 23 cases were received up to the end of March 1936. Of these 56 cases, 33 have been disposed of leaving a balance 23. Salwar details for these pending cases are noted below.

1933-34	6
1934-45	5
1935-36	12
	23

App.No.1/33-34;- Appeal of Patel Junje Gowda against the order of the Amildar Sira levying double water rate and a fine of Rs 2/- for unauthorised use of Government water to S.No. 13 of Dharalu Village. This was posted to 19-7-1933 and records were called for. The records have not been received so far and the last reminder issued to the Amildar Sira was on 20-4-1935. The delay in the submission of records is very unsatisfactory and deserved to be looked into. The Sub Division Officer should have enquired into the matter during one of his visits to Sira.

App. No. 9/33-34.- Presented on 24-7-1933 Appeal by Veerabhadradhya Agrahar Village Kortagere Taluk, fining him for tampering with the Boundary marks between S.Nos.70 71and 36 of Bukkapatna Village. The order of the Amildar fining the Appellant Rs5/- was passed on 30-6-1932. Case was posted to 14-8-1933 and 7-10-1933, but was adjourned. On 19-10-1933 the statement of the Appellant was taken as he was willing to deposit Rs 3/- for measurement by Mojinidar. The Sub Division Officer Mr. B.S. Raghavendra Rao has remarked - Orders to be passed after mojinidar's report is received. Report to be sent in 15 days. Amildar's Order has been stayed". The matter is still pending in the register as no report has been received from the Amilda Last reminder on 20-4-1935.

App.No. 52/33-34. Presented on 1-6-1934

Appeal of Chendrappa Kanivehalli - Pavagada Taluk praying for cancellation of fine levied by the Amildar on Takrar Takta. To Amildar for submission of - records on 25-5-1934. Reminder on 20-4-1935 still pending.

App. 62/33-34. Presented on 1-6-34.

Appeal of ~~Chennakxxxxxx~~ Venkatappa and 8 others praying for the cancellation of the order passed on Takrar Takta by the Amildar, Madhugiri Taluk directing them to pay double water rate for use of Rangapur Tank water for raising Vaishaki crops during the year 1931-32. Case was heard on 22-10-1934., 5-11-34, 20-11-34, 13-3-1935; five adjournments up to 31-5-1935 on which date the Sub Division Officer has ordered " The Appellants have not used the water unauthorisedly. They are entitled to the water. The counsel contests on behalf of the Appellants. He urges that the Appellants are entitled to the use of this tank water. Posted for orders ". He has further ordered on 24-11-1935 as follows " In the appeal petition App. No. 49/33-34 the Appellants have stated that the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer inspected their fields and an order No. 194-30 dated 31-3-1931 was issued permitting the Appellants to use water Please get that order. It is not found in the record. Issue notice also to the Appellant's Counsel to file the copy of it if he has one before the end of this month". No further action has been taken.

Appeal No. 71/33-34. presented on 15-6-1934.

Appeal of K. Kodandaramachar, Krishnapura Village, Pavagada Taluk against the Amildar's Order directing the levy of four times water-rate and fine of Rs 25/- for the unauthorised use

use of Government water to his dry lands in Inam Krishnapura Village. Five - adjournments since 4-10-1934 and case heard on 16-11-1935 and posted for - orders. No orders have yet been passed.

App.32/34-35. Presented on 11-4-35. Appeal of Chikkanna, Shankarappa, - Kempa Chowdappa of Gulahalli, Madhugiri Taluk praying for cancellation of the Amildar's order regarding the levy of three times water rate for unauthorised use of Government water to 2 acres 31 guntas of land in S.No. 53 in the year 1933-34. Records have been received in Office on 26-3-1935 from the Amildar The matter is still pending.

App. 39/34-35.- Presented on 25-4-1935. Appeal of Lingappa of Panjiganahalli Sira Taluk against the order of the Amildar, Sira Taluk levying a fine of Rs50/- for damage done to Sandal tree in S.No. 127 of the Village. Records have received on 14-5-1935 from the Amildar. No action has been taken.

App. 42/34-35.- Presented on 12-5-1935. Appeal of Linganna, Kariappa and others of Kotti Village, Hulikunte Hobli, Sira Taluk against the order of the Amildar regarding the levy of water rate and fine for unauthorised use of katte - water in S.Nos. 5 and 6 of Kotti Village in 1932-33. Sent to the Amildar for report on 8-4-1935 and the Amildar's report received on 12-5-1935. Records pending without any action.

App.44/34-35.-Presented on 18-4-1935.

Appeal of Chikkarangappa bin Gijjappa Hosakere, Midigesi Hobli, Madhugiri Taluk against the order of the Amildar fining the Appellant Rs 20/- for deviating and draining of the Talaparige Kalve running in S.Nos. 36 37, 38 and 41 etc., Hosakere. Records received from the Amildar on 18-4-1935. The case was posted for hearing to 18-9-1935. Two adjournments were given and the case heard on 25-10-1935 and posted for orders. But on 25-11-1935, the Sub Division Officer has observed " The report of the Amildar is not quite clear. Certain more information is very necessary. (i) Which is the S.No. of the Appellant (2) which are the S.Nos of complainants and their " ಅಡ್ಡ " (3) at what point has the appellant cut open the kalve ". Call for the information returning the records. The report with the required information to be submitted by the end of the month. Warn the Clerk. Issue reminder on the 30th if the records are not received fining the clerk concerned suitably". No further action has been taken.

Cases Nos. 9 & 52 are similar to No. 1. In case No. 62/33-34 7 adjournments have been given and the parties asked to file an order passed by the Amildar on 31-3-1931 before the end of November 1935. The case is still pending.

Register of Miscellaneous cases.- Two cases are shown as pending in this register.

(1) No. 11/33-34 - Petition of Phakruddin and another of Ranganayakanakoppa, Madhugiri - Taluk praying for cancellation of sale of S.No. 5/2 of the Village - confirmed in favour of - Govindappa- Respondent - Instituted on 18-12-1933 - Records have been returned to the Amildar on

10-3-1935 for report after recovering the arrears from the Appellant. There is no file or order sheet. It is therefore not possible to verify the correctness of the order passed by the Sub Division Officer. The Amildar has not reported for a year and the case is being delayed in disposal.

(2) No. 1/34.35.-Petition of Talawar Bheema of Badagere Village, Koratagere Taluk praying that the Talawarike may be registered in his name. Report with the records called for fro m the Amildar, Koratagere on 18-3-1935 has not yet been received.

Suit Register.- Five cases are pending. No.1/29-30.- Suit for recovery of Talawarike of Koratagere firka. On a - requisition from the Munsiff, Madhugiri the records in this case have been forwarded on 21-3-1933. Order sheet dated 29-11-1935 contains the remark " Mr. Subrahmanyam represents thatthe case has been transferred to the file of the - Subordinate Judge;Tumkur and that it is likely it would be disposed of by the end of December. Posted to 15-1-1936" Suit No.1/31-32.- The case was institute on 15-7-1931. After 4 adjourments issues were framed by Mr. B.S.Raghavendr Rao and the case posted for evidence to 20-2-1932". From this date till - 19-3-34 the case underwent 43 adjourments of which 38 were given by Mr. B.S. Raghavendra Rao. On 19-3-34 he passed the following order " In the absence of

absence of parties not filing the Barabaluti extract, - I find I am not in a position to pass judgment. The facts as given in the plaint differ from the registry as ordered by the Sub Division Officer in his order dated 15-9-1909 If the correct Barabaluti extract is not filed I am afraid the plaint will have to be returned for amendment. Rejected as the grouping of Villages as per plaint differ from that in the order read above. One more chance may therefore be given. Defendants witnesses have not been examined. As anyhow the case will be reopened for production of the above, a fresh chance may be given to defendants to produce his evidence ". Further adjournments have been given. The correct extract of Barabaluti Register has not been filed. In the meanwhile the 2nd defendant Dodda Channakka adopted mother of 1st Defendant died. The case is still pending.

O.S. No. 2/32-33. This is a regular suit filed by Narasegowda S/o Narasegowda, Kanivehalli Sira Taluk, contesting the latter's appointment to the Pateli Office of Kadirehalli Village. The suit was instituted on 30-7-32.

On 22-10-1934 the present Sub Division Officer noted as follows " case called. Parties absent. Notice to Plaintiff's Counsel posted. Mr. Nanjundappa for Mr. K. Henjeerappa for the Defendant. He represents that the Plaintiff is Dead. Posted for orders "

No orders have yet been passed.

Rev. Suit No. 1/34-35.- This is a regular suit filed by the Plaintiff Narasappa S/o Ugregowda, minor by guardian Narasappa of Sodenahalli, - Madhugiri Taluk, Guardian mother Puttamma Sodenahalli for the recovery of the Pateli of Sodenahalli. The case was filed on 30-1-35 in pursuance of the -

pursuance of the Deputy Commissioner's order in R.A. 16/34-35 dated 11-12-34. Defendant's witnesses were required to be produced on 28-11-1935. Further - adjournedxx on 28-11-1935 as Defendant's witnesses were not present. No further action has been taken.

Rev. Suit No. 2/34-35. This is a regular suit filed on 28-2-1935 by one Vyasa Rao bin Gundappa Dodbanagere illage, - Hulikunte Hobli, Sira Taluk for the recovery of the Shanbhogi Office of - Dodbanagere Village. The Defendants are (1) Lakshminarasappa bin Shamanna ,-Shanbhogue Dodbanagere, (2) Venkataramiah bin Ramanna, Clerk of the Office of the Inspector of Education, Sira Range, Sira. Posted to 4-6-1935 and notice with copies of plaint sent to Defendant on 17-5-1935. Further adjourned to 21-10-1935 and 16-11-1935. Case called on 16-11-1935 and adjournment given for the 1st and 2nd Defendents for filing written statements on 28-11-1935. Further adjourned from 28-11-1935 to 13-12-1935 for filing statements which are not ready. No action taken since 13-12-1935.

Cash Book.- Maintained. Out of the imprest of Rs.25/- allotted to this Office, the cash on hand is Rs 12-2-0, the balance of Rs 12-14-0 has been spent asfollows:-

(a) purchase of Service labels 10-0-0

(b) Bus fare for returning - Deputy Commr's tents 2-0-0

(c) Telegram charges to be recovered 0-14-0

These have to be recouped.

Besides the above the miscellaneous cash on hand is Rs 7-12-0 comprised of

i)	witness batta	5-8-0
ii)	copying fees	0-12-0
iii)	Duty and penalty	1-8-0
		7-12-0

Land Acquisition cases:-

There were 9 cases pending on 1-7-1935. and 4 cases were received up to the end of March 1936. Of these 13 cases 6 have been disposed of leaving a balance of 7 cases. Salwar details are noted below:-

1929-30	2
1930-31	2
1935-36	3
	7

Nos. 55 and 56 of 29-30. A reference has been made to the District Survey Officer Tumkur, on 28-5-1935 to intimate correct measurement of land to be acquired in S.Nos 4 and 5 of Teeta Village, Koratagere Taluk. Reminder on 26-3-36.

Nos. 3 & 4/31-32.- Acquisition of S.Nos. 11/1 and 12 of Kodigenahalli Village, Madhugiri Taluk for Khabarstan". shown as pending with the Deputy Commissioner, in the register.

The disposals are dilatory. The register is not properly written up. Date of taking possession not noted. Copies of award not sent to the Sub Registry Offices concerned.

Takrar Taktas.- There were 19 cases pending on 1-7-1935 and 18 cases were received up to end of March 1936. Of these 37 cases 31 have been disposed of leaving a balance of 6 cases. Salwar details are noted below:-

1929-30	1	Sent to Deputy Commissioner on 13-10-34.
1932-33	2	One for personal inspection and one for orders.
1934-35	2	Pending with Amildars
1935-36	1	
	6	

Sub Division Officerss itineration.-

	No. of days on tour.	No. of halts.	No. of halts for more than 2 days.
July 1935	13	7	..
August "	14	7	..
September 1935	17	9	..
October "	10	4	..
November "	18	13	3 days
December "	17	12	2 days
January 1936	11	6	2 days.
February "	18	14	2.2.3. days
March "	11	4	-

The Sub Division Officer has inspected the Taluk Office Madhugiri on the 23rd and 24th April 1936 and the Treasuries of Madhugiri and Koratagere and the Sub Registry Offices of Madhugiri and Koratagere and the Village Panchayet Section of Sira Taluk. Office inspections are not well distributed.

The general condition of work is unsatisfactory. The work that the Sub Division Officer should personally do, such as the writing of Jamabandi reports the enquiry into appeals and suits and the inspection of subordinate offices has not been properly attended to. The manner in which the appeals and suits have been dealt with deserves special mention. There are cases in which although records were received over a year ago no action has been taken. Cases reserved for orders several months ago remains undisposed of.

Failure of Amildars to submit reports has led to delay in other cases. The Sub - Division Officer has no grip or control over his Office or the Offices subordinate to him. The irregularities have been pointed out to the Sub Division Officer.

(Sd). K.V. Anandaraman.
Revenue

To The Dc Tumkur Dt- for information and needful further action.

Copy
G Mallikarjunaiah
Jr Revenue Commissioner
17/5

CONFIDENTIAL.

2689/C.5148-35-2

D) 26/5/36

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.4675/35-36 dated 18th May 1936, proposing that the increment which accrued on 16th May 1936 to Mr. H. Niranjanraj Urs, Assistant Commissioner, Madhugiri Sub Division, might be withheld for a period of six months, I am directed to request that the explanation of Mr.Niranjanraj Urs may be obtained in respect of the several defects pointed out by you and forwarded to Government with the observations of the Deputy Commissioner and yourself thereon.

I have etc.,

26-5-36

Chief Secretary.

Confidential.

26th May 6.

2689/ C.S. 4875-1

K. V. Anantaraman, Esqr., B.A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. C.4675/35-36 dated 18th May 1936, proposing that the increment which accrued on 16th May 1936 to Mr. H. Niranjanraj Urs, Assistant Commissioner, Madhugiri Sub Division, might be withheld for a period of six months, I am directed to request that the explanation of Mr.Niranjanraj Urs may be obtained in respect of the several defects pointed out by you and forwarded to Government with the observations of the Deputy Commissioner and yourself thereon.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Cs 1898

Mr. T. ABDUL KHADIR, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT
DEWAN'S OFFICE
16 JUNE 1936
No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the 15th June 1936.

D. O. No. C. 35/36 (1) 2u 1349/1

Dear Mr. Noronha,

Enclosed is a copy of my D.O. to the Chief Secretary, for submission to the Dewan as I had represented the matter personally to the Dewan.

Yours sincerely,

Charles Noronha, Esq., M.A.,
Private Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Submd. for perusal pl. in reference to my letter dated 15th June 1936. The Dewan ordered that the matter may be put up to him for orders after the receipt of the report from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

16/6

16-6-36

C. (illegible)
16/6

C. 35/36.

Confidential.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, 15th June 1 9 3 6.

Dear sir,

During a conversation Mr. H. Niranjan Raj Urs informed me that you told him that he would be transferred soon, although not in connection with the Revenue Commissioner's inspection of his office. I have personally represented to the Dewan and the First Member that he is one of the best executive Officers. He is very popular in his Sub Division. In fact I have not come across a more popular Sub Division Officer. Since about a year a few Municip-al members of Madhugiri Municipality led by mischievous persons amongst themselves have been leading a campaign of villification against him and obstructing him in every good work in the Town. A couple of them or their relations were concerned in cases of smuggling, some of them had encroached upon Municipal property, and some were encouraging people to disobey license conditions. I submitted a detailed report, which seems to have been accepted, and the Vice-President having recently apologiged the matter has been dropped. I am informed that some of the Madhugiri influential people are trying to work up an agitation in Pavagada also against Mr. Niranjan Raj Urs.

I beg to assure the Government that Mr. Niranjan Raj Urs's work has been satisfactory. He has done a great deal of rural re-construction work. The defects found in the office routine can be easily rectified. If at this juncture Mr. Niranjan Raj Urs is transferred, it would seem to the agitators that he has been punished on account of the agitation of the mischievous people, and obstacles will be thrown in the way of the new officer. It will be lowering the importance of the post of the Sub Division Officer. It will be the talk all over the Sub Division and the District that agitation succeeds. I therefore beg that he may be kept on in the Sub Division until he finishes his normal period of service which is only a few months more, or for six months more at

at least. In case his transfer is considered necessary, he may kindly be posted to Gubbi Sub Division, and Mr. R. Sama Iyengar posted to Madhugiri Sub Division, as the latter is a capable officer and I wish both the officers to stay in my District.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- T. Abdul Khadir.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

CONFIDENTIAL.

No. C.4675/35-36.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 18th August 1936.

1 copy + explanation (in original).

C.B. 1936

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

2293 19/8

C.S. 148-35-3

To B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.2689/C.B. 148-35-2 dated 26th May 1936 calling for the explanation of Mr. H. Niranjanraj Urs, Assistant Commissioner, Madhugiri Sub Division, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of D.O. letter No.C.3444/35 dated 16/17th July 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, with the explanation in original of Mr. Niranjanraj Urs and to state that I do not share the Deputy Commissioner's opinion of the work of this Officer.

2. My opinion recorded in the Inspection Notes of his Office was with particular reference to the very unsatisfactory state of affairs in the Sub Division Office and not with reference to his executive work. An Officer whose office work is so perfunctory and unsystematic must be necessarily so in his executive work also.

3. I have recorded my remarks on the margin of the explanation submitted by Mr. Niranjanraj Urs and I consider that the unsatisfactory condition of work in the Sub Division Office is due as much to lack of critical scrutiny of the subordinate Officer's work by the Deputy Commissioner as to Mr. Niranjanraj Urs's lack of experience and application to work.

4. In view however of the Deputy Commissioner's report that most of the defects pointed out in the Inspection Notes have since been set right, that there is perceptible improvement in the working of the Sub Division Office and that for most of the period Mr. Niranjanraj Urs was in charge of the Sub Division he had serious domestic trouble, I am inclined to view his shortcomings more leniently than what was indicated in my letter No.C.4675/35-36 dated

Part I

dated 18th May 1936.

5. I therefore recommend that a warning may be conveyed to him.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

D.O. letter No.C.3444/35 dated 16/17th July 1936, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.C.4675/35 dated the 2nd June 1936, I beg to enclose herewith the explanation of Mr. H. Niranjan Raj Urs, B.A., Sub Division Officer, Madhugiri in connection with the defects found by you during your inspection of his office. Most of the defects have been set right in a very short period after your inspection.

The executive work of Mr. H. Niranjan Raj Urs has been very good. Both he and the Amildars of his Sub Division had an extremely anxious period, and very strenuous work in connection with the distress which prevailed in his Sub Division for about a year. The pendency of papers in his office seems to be due to his not receiving reports promptly from his subordinates, who, as I have already mentioned were extremely busy in relief works. I dare say he will pay due attention to the routine work of the office in future. During the course of my office inspection on 26th June 1936, I noticed that there was decided improvement. I beg to mention that he personally worked hard and tactfully and obtained very good results in Excise Sales. It was feared that there would be very heavy loss. But he arranged to have some Taluks sold for 3 years at great advantage to Government. His Village Improvement work has been commendable. He knows practically every bit of his Sub Division and is one of the most popular Sub Division Officers I have come across. The ryots have great confidence in him as he is easily accessible and is very sympathetic. He is also popular with the officers of other Departments as he takes interest in their work and co-operates with them. His work in the Madhugiri Municipality has been good in spite of mischievous opposition.

I may also mention here that for some period he had domestic worries owing to the long illness of his wife which unfortunately ended in her death.

P.T.O

Confidential

No. 559/CS 148-35 I

to / Endl. file date 26.8.36

The Dist Commr in charge

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C 4675/35-36 dt 18/19-8-36, regarding the unsatisfactory work of Sri Narayana Raj Urs, Asst Commr, Madhugiri Sub-Div, & as disclosed by several defects noticed by you in the course of your inspection of the Sub Division office in April last, I am directed to state that in the circumstances stated by you, Govt accept your recommendation and a warning may be conveyed to him.

The records received with your letter are returned.

I have etc

[illegible]

28/8

Chief Secy to Govt